

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
RE-INSPEC	ΓΙΟΝ (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 1110130 DATE: 10/24/20	<u>13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:55</u>		DEPART: <u>9:15</u>			
FACILITY NAME: ALL HEAVENLY CREATURES PET CREMATORY							
FACILITY LOCATION: 8555 S	S US HWY 1						
PORT	ST LUCIE 3495	52-3347					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESED Email: loriledea@hotmail.com CONTACT NAME: TAMMY NICA Email: loriledea@hotmail.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/28/20 (effective of	ASTRO 12 / 7/28/2017	MMY NICASTRO	Mobile:	(772)878-2315 (772)342-1633 (772)878-2315 (772)342-1633			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTOR  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:				,	eck 🗹 for each q	•	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still If no, who is?:	1 TAMMY NICAS	STRO?			Yes	□No	
If different, did the facility provide a  3. Is the facility contact still TAMMY I  If no, who is?:					Yes Yes	□No □No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s If yes, was the compliance authority					Yes Yes	□No □No	

## Emissions Unit Section 1 - Animal Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbr,NG,tempM&R,opacM,100lbs/hr

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. a Complete AC application or if no AC parmit initial CP resistration received on or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?      b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No ⊠No
operation?	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?		∐No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test? 340 ⊠ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	⊠ Yes	□No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		⊠No □No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average.  f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour	No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		□No □No □No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute.	Yes in any one-hour	□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	rds?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters	<del></del>	
Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level		
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temper secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second time at $\Box$ 1,800 <sup>1</sup> $\Box$ 1,600 <sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?	X YesNo	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two (1) All temperature measurements		
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing a monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>		
(4) Adjustments	YesNo	
(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	\(\sum \text{Yes}  \text{\text{\text{No}}}	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indicati when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature marki</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	xings	
<ul> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring syst control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li> <li>(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whene</li> </ul>	\( \sum \) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \)	
exceeds 15% opacity?		
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	<u> </u>	
	(check ☑ only one	_
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each question)	_
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less that throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> b process begins in the primary chamber?	before the cremation	
2. If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
<ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F be</li> </ul>		
process begins in the primary chamber?		
	(check ☑ only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each question)	=
Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?  If yes, what other materials?		
Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?  If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2.		

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manuface.</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame character of If no, skip a. – b.</li> <li>a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once due.</li> <li>b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?</li> </ol>	edures during startup, cteristics? uring each operating shift?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	No  No  No  No  No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	ck only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE				
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>box</b> for each	only one question)			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days.  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without repla  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that  d. A change in ownership?	tion of the facility or any emissions uni ministrative change at the facility? s of the change?	ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> <li>∴.No</li> </ul>			
Geoff Burke  Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection  10/24/2014  Approximate Date of Next Inspection	pection				
COMMENTS: GB: Checked records, inspected facility, witnesses	d VE test. Facility in Compliance.					